

S E C R E T 291450Z

PRIORITY

OUT50878 25X1

15 33Z

 29 MAR 1963
 HFS ~~HS~~
 CS ~~CS~~
 ACL ~~ACL~~
 CFC ~~CFC~~
 FILE ~~FILE~~

1. SITUATION WITH REGARD TO FILM EVALUATION AND SYSTEMS ANALYSIS IS BECOMING QUITE CONFUSED, PRESENT STATUS MIGHT BE SUMMARIZED AS FOLLOWS:

A. REF A INDICATES THAT DNRO REQUESTED SUBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE CHARACTERISTICS EVALUATIONS FROM [] SINCE THIS WAS NOT CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD WE REQUESTED [] TO PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF WHAT WAS MEANT BY TERM SUBJECTIVE. HE IN TURN ASKED [] FOR SUCH AN EXPLANATION. A MEETING TO DISCUSS THIS MATTER WAS SCHEDULED FOR 19 MARCH 1963 WHICH HAD TO BE CANCELLED AT THE REQUEST OF []

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

B. REF B FROM [] APPEARS TO BE A REASONABLE APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM. AN ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO SPELL OUT WHAT IS MEANT BY SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION, PHOTOGRAPHIC-PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTIC EVALUATION, AND SYSTEM EVALUATION. NO RESPONSE TO [] CABLE WAS RECEIVED FROM []

25X1

25X1

25X1

C. REF C FROM [] ONLY INDICATED THAT TERMS OF REFERENCE

25X1

25X1

SECRET

 Excluded from automatic
 downgrading and
 declassification

Declassification Review by NGA/DoD

FOR REF A BE CONTINUED FOR MSN 8002 AND THAT EVALUATION PLANS WOULD BE REVIEWED AT THE 3 APRIL SE MEETING.

D. REF D FROM [] INDICATED THAT [] WOULD PRODUCE [] AND THAT [] WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PHOTOGRAPHIC-PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS EVALUATION AT [] (NOTE THIS WAS NOT COORDINATED WITH []) THIS MESSAGE ALSO CALLED FOR A CLARIFICATION OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION AND PHOTO-PHYSICAL EVALUATION.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

E. REF E FROM [] DIRECTS THAT A MEETING WILL BE HELD AT [] ON 2 APRIL TO REVIEW FUNCTIONS TO BE ACCOMPLISHED BY ASSIGNED ORGANIZATIONS.

25X1

25X1

2. [] WILL BE REPRESENTED BUT REQUESTS REPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ASAP TO AID IN PREPARING FOR THIS MEETING.

25X1

A. WHAT IS MEANT BY SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION?

B. WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION AND PHOTO-PHYSICAL EVALUATION?

C. WHAT ARE THE VIEWS OF THE DNRO WITH REGARD TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY SE IN [] (REF B)?

25X1

D. IS IT INTENDED THAT AT THIS MEETING FUNCTIONS WILL BE ASSIGNED TO VARIOUS PARTICIPANTS OR WILL THIS MATTER MERELY BE DISCUSSED?

E. [] RECOMMENDS THAT THIS ISSUE BE RESOLVED IN WASHINGTON AT THE HEADQUARTERS LEVEL, IN A MEETING CONSISTING OF [] (INCLUDING SE REPRESENTATION).

25X1

25X1

25X1

F. WILL THE DNRO MAKE A FINAL ASSESSMENT OF THIS PROBLEM TO CONFIRM FUNCTIONAL ASSIGNMENTS.

3. YOUR REPLY IS REQUESTED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE SO THAT NECESSARY
PLANNING MAY BE CONDUCTED.

S E C R E T

CFN 0618 [] A.C. LUNDAHL 0322 6636 0336 4484 2844 A DNRO

25X1

NOT CLEARLY [] 19 1963 [] B NO RESPONSE C A 8002

25X1

3 SE D 42 NOT COORDINATED E 2 DNRO 6636 B SE DNRO

BT

510

NNNN

1